

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,227	07/24/2003	George Irvin	21520-RA	4553
30184	7590 07/20/2004		EXAMINER	
MYERS & KAPLAN, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, L.L.C.			FASTOVSKY, LEONID M	
1899 POWER SUITE 310	RS FERRY ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, GA 30339			3742	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1 11 1	1
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$-\sqrt{0}$	/
Office Antique Commence	10/626,227	IRVIN, GEORGE		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Leonid M Fastovsky	3742		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dawill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed bys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this content of the mailing date of this content of the conte	nmunication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/15	5/04.			
	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the i	merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	· ·			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	ndrawn from consideration.			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2003</u> is/are: a)		by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		=		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	• •	R 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTC)-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No /ed in this National S	itage	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-	152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/626,227 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Claims 18-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected claims, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 6/15/04.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-17 in the reply filed on 6/15/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that they belong to the same class. This is not found persuasive because groups I and II each have different modes of operation such as a completely enclosed garment in group I and a partially enclosed garment in group II. On the other hand, restriction of claim 20 has been withdrawn. In addition, Applicant's argument regarding reclassification are appreciated and will be addressed accordingly in the future.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because grommet 132, top 142, snap 142, flap 144 and top 144 are not shown in the drawings. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement

Application/Control Number: 10/626,227 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains extraneous words such as "invention". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: top (Page 11, line 7) and snap (Page 12, line 3) have the same number 142, as well top (Page 11, line 16) and flap 144 (page 12, line 4).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3742

7. Claims 1- 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Browder (4,035,606).

Browder teaches a temperature controlled environment comprising a garment 18 for covering a wearer's head and body, at least one heating non-asphyxiating means 15, the garment completely encloses the heating means, and the garment at least partially encloses the means for seating 10.

8. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cilkadaroglu (WO2004022886).

Cilkadaroglu teaches a blind comprising a floor, at least one shell overgarment 10, chairs 8, and a heating system being non-asphyxiating. The word "hunting" is for intended use, and a prior art has a capability to so perform (See MPEP 2114 and Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 4-6,8,10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cilkadaroglu in view of Browder.

Cilkadaroglu teaches a heated system having a base surrounded by four walls and inherently secured around a stationary object, zipper 12, sleeves 14 and a chair 8.

Art Unit: 3742

However, he does not teach a heat portion. Browder teaches substantially the claimed invention having a garment comprising a head portion, a torso portion, arms portion (Fig. 4), one zipper-entry 26 frontally disposed, the torso portion has at least one opening for hand insertion. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Cilkadaroglu's invention to include the head portion of the garment to keep head warm as taught by Browder (col. 2, lines 25-40).

11. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Browder in view of Eckes (5,826,273).

Browder teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a catalytic heater. Eckes teaches that a thermal packet 24 is a catalytic heater (col. 4, lines 49-59). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Browder's invention to include a catalytic heater to provide a several hours of continuous heat as taught by Eckes (col. 4, lines 49-59).

12. Claims 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cilkadaroglu in view of Browder and further in view of Dodson (2003/0057018).

Cilkadaroglu in view of Browder teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a support cable and a collapsible chair. Dodson teaches a support cable 24 and a collapsible chair 12c. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Cilkadaroglu in view of Browder to include a support cable secure the person and a collapsible chair to that it can be moved from its upright position as taught by Dodson (page 2, [22],[23]).

Application/Control Number: 10/626,227

Art Unit: 3742

13. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cilkadaroglu in view of Browder and futher in view of Bull (5,611,082).

Cilkadaroglu in view of Browder teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a back wall zipper and a snap flap. Bull teaches a garment having reclosable openings –snaps 77 and fasteners in the back (col. 4, lines 55-60). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Cilkadaroglu in view of Browder to include reclosable openings-snaps and fasteners in order to hold the foot enclosing portion well above the ground as taught by Bull (col. 4, lines 55-60).

14. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Browder in view of Williams (4,723,371).

Browder teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a securing grommet. Williams teaches a hunting blind having secured grommets 71. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Browser's invention to include securing grommets to secure the base at each corner as taught by Williams (col. 6, lines 10-20).

15. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Browder in view of Dodson.

Browder teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach means for tethering. Dodson teaches a tether 34 and tether connecting arrangement 30. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Browder's invention to include a tether with a tether connecting arrangement to secure the person as taught by Dodson (page 2, [23]).

Art Unit: 3742

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 6101631 (harness for hunters), 4896655 (under-blanket heater), 3734682 (catalytic heater), 6302094 (heating system), 4637074 (protective garment).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 703-306-5482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 703-305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

Examiner
Art Unit 3742

lmf